

# RESPONSE OF MUSTARD (BRASSICA JUNCEA L.) CZERNJ. & COSSON) TO POTASSIUM WITH OTHER NUTRIENTS ON YIELD AND QUALITY

# **ARUNIMA PALIWAL\* AND J. P. SINGH**

Department of Agronomy, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar - 263 145. U.S. Nagar. Uttarakhand. INDIA e-mail: arunima.28@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

# **KEYWORDS**

Mustard Seed yield Protein yield Oil content 150% NPK

**Received on :** 09.10.2013

Accepted on : 24.03.2014

\*Corresponding author

## **INTRODUCTION**

Rapeseeds mustard are important oilseed crops which rank third in vegetable oils after soybean and palm while second in oilseed proteins production after soybean in the world (USDA, 2011). The global production of rapeseed-mustard was 62.45 mt from an acerage of 33.64 mha with a total productivity of 18.56 q/ha (FAO STAT, 2011). In India, the annual production of rapeseed-mustard was about 8.17 mt covering an area of about 6.51 mha with a total productivity of 12.57 q/ha (GOI, 2011). It is estimated that 58 mt of oilseeds will be required by the year 2020, wherein the share of rapeseed-mustard will be around 24.2 mt (Bartaria et *al.*, 2001).

The most important constraints to crop growth are those caused by shortage of plant nutrients. The nutrient requirement of oilseed crops, in general, is very high for almost all the essential mineral nutrients which are to be supplied in adequate quantities. Nutrient use efficiency is barely 30-40 per cent and approximately more than 60 per cent of applied nutrients are lost through various ways. It has been estimated that less than 15 per cent of nutrients absorbed by the oilseeds are contributed by fertilizers while the remaining are obtained from soil resources, organic manures, biological sources and residues as well as wastes (Davari and Mirzakhani, 2009). Application of potassium upto 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> also significantly increased grain yields of Indian mustard. On an average, with the high potassium application of 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 37.2% increase in grain yield was achieved as reported by Tiwari et al. (2012).

to higher values of yield attributing characters *viz*. number of siliquae per plant (324.5), length of siliqua (4 cm), 1000- seed weight (3.7 g) and seed weight per plant (13.9 g). Protein yield (306 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and oil yield (736 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was also recorded maximum at 150% NPK level. Therefore, it can be concluded that application of 150% NPK should be used for improvement of yield and quality of mustard under tarai condition of Uttarakhand.

A field experiment to evaluate response of mustard (Brassica juncea L.) Czernj. and Cosson) to potassium in

combination with other nutrients on yield and quality was conducted at N. E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of

Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand) during rabi season of

2012-13. The experiment, comprising of twelve treatments was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three

replications. The results revealed that higher seed yield per hectare (1817 kg ha-1) was obtained at 150% NPK due

Seed yield and yield attributes of Indian mustard increased with increasing level of nitrogen and phosphorus upto 120 and 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Also reported in maize-mustard sequence, 100/75% of RDF + 2 t FYM gave highest seed yield and quality of the oil (Shekhawat *et al.*, 2012). Significant increase in protein and oil content in mustard seed due to application of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Singh *et al.*, 2010).

Thus, adequate nutrient supply increases the seed and oil yields by improving the setting pattern of siliquae on branches, number of siliquae per plant and other yield attributes. Farmers most commonly use di ammonium phosphate that supplies only nitrogen and phosphorus. So, for obtaining maximum efficiency of added fertilizer, balanced fertilization to crops including use of potassium and micronutrients, is essential. Micronutrient helps in photosynthetic activities and proper utilization of nitrogen and phosphorus (Verma and Baigh, 2012). Therefore, the present experiment was conducted to evaluate response of mustard to potassium in combination with other nutrients on yield and quality.

The objectives of this study were to examine the effects of potassium with different nutrients on mustard yield, their yield attributing traits and quality parameters.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field study was conducted during *rabi* season of 2012-13 at G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology,

| Treatment                        | Number of<br>siliquae/ plant | Number of<br>seeds/ siliqua | Length of<br>siliqua (cm) | 1000-seed<br>weight (g) | Seed weight/<br>plant (g) | Seed yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 100% K                           | 288.9                        | 12.1                        | 3.7                       | 3.5                     | 13.8                      | 1104                                 | 5388                                   |
| 100% N                           | 280.6                        | 11.7                        | 3.5                       | 3.4                     | 12.0                      | 1220                                 | 6239                                   |
| 100% NK                          | 305.0                        | 11.6                        | 3.1                       | 3.3                     | 13.7                      | 1282                                 | 6680                                   |
| 100% NP                          | 278.6                        | 11.7                        | 3.5                       | 3.2                     | 10.9                      | 1250                                 | 5933                                   |
| 50% NPK                          | 219.9                        | 11.3                        | 2.9                       | 3.1                     | 10.8                      | 1391                                 | 6554                                   |
| 100% NPK (RDF)*                  | 269.1                        | 11.9                        | 3.6                       | 3.2                     | 11.0                      | 1429                                 | 7350                                   |
| 150% NPK                         | 324.5                        | 13.5                        | 4.0                       | 3.7                     | 13.9                      | 1817                                 | 8228                                   |
| 100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha          | 323.5                        | 12.2                        | 3.7                       | 3.2                     | 12.9                      | 1567                                 | 6885                                   |
| 100% NPK + ZnSO, @ 25 kg/ha      | 319.7                        | 11.6                        | 3.4                       | 3.5                     | 11.3                      | 1531                                 | 7534                                   |
| 100% NPK + Borax @ 0.2% (foliar) | 282.6                        | 12.6                        | 3.6                       | 3.4                     | 13.0                      | 1460                                 | 6868                                   |
| 100% NPK + FYM** @ 2.5 t/ha      | 310.7                        | 12.4                        | 3.7                       | 3.6                     | 12.2                      | 1609                                 | 7538                                   |
| (dry weight)                     |                              |                             |                           |                         |                           |                                      |  |
| Control                          | 185.0                        | 10.7                        | 2.7                       | 2.7                     | 7.9                       | 625                                  | 2683                                   |
| S Em ±                           | 26.4                         | 1.0                         | 0.2                       | 0.1                     | 1.1                       | 49                                   | 506                                    |
| C D (P = 0.05)                   | 77.4                         | NS                          | 0.5                       | 0.4                     | 3.2                       | 144                                  | 1485                                   |

Table 2: Protein content in seed and protein yield as influenced by different nutrient levels

| Treatment                                | Protein content (%) | Protein yield(kg ha-1) | Oil content (%) | Oil yield (kg ha-1) |  |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| 100% K                                   | 18.3                | 171.6                  | 41.3            | 504.5               |  |
| 100% N                                   | 18.4                | 191.3                  | 40.9            | 511.1               |  |
| 100% NK                                  | 18.5                | 201.2                  | 41.1            | 629.0               |  |
| 100% NP                                  | 20.2                | 214.8                  | 40.7            | 566.0               |  |
| 50% NPK                                  | 18.6                | 220.8                  | 40.6            | 448.6               |  |
| 100% NPK (RDF)*                          | 19.5                | 236.8                  | 40.7            | 522.1               |  |
| 150% NPK                                 | 19.9                | 306.0                  | 40.5            | 736.0               |  |
| 100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha                  | 18.8                | 250.3                  | 41.0            | 595.0               |  |
| 100% NPK + ZnSO, @ 25 kg/ha              | 20.1                | 261.6                  | 40.8            | 596.4               |  |
| 100% NPK + Borax @ 0.2% (foliar)         | 18.6                | 230.2                  | 40.8            | 631.5               |  |
| 100% NPK + FYM** @ 2.5 t/ha (dry weight) | 19.6                | 267.3                  | 40.7            | 655.5               |  |
| Control                                  | 17.9                | 94.3                   | 40.3            | 251.4               |  |
| S Em ±                                   | 0.9                 | 11.8                   | 0.20            | 21.0                |  |
| C D (P = 0.05)                           | NS                  | 34.5                   | NS              | 61.6                |  |

\*RDF = 120: 60: 40 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, \*\*40% moisture

Pantnagar (29°N latitude, 79.29°E longitude and 243.8 m above the mean sea level) district U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India. The climate of Pantnagar is humid subtropical with hot summers, heavy rains in monsoon period and extreme cold in winters. The soil of the experimental site was silty clay loam in texture having medium organic carbon (0.62%), low available nitrogen (156.80 kg/ha), medium available phosphorus (20.60 kg/ha) and medium available potassium (127.80 kg/ha) contents with slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.6). A 3 time replicated trials with 12 treatments *viz*. T<sub>1</sub> (100% K), T<sub>2</sub> (100% NPK), T<sub>3</sub> (100% NK), T<sub>4</sub> (100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha), T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg/ha), T<sub>10</sub> (100% NPK + Borax @ 0.2% (foliar)), T<sub>11</sub> (100% NPK + FYM @ 2.5 t/ha (dry weight)) and T<sub>12</sub> (control- no fertilizer application) were laid out in randomized block design (Fisher, 1947).

Mustard, variety NDRE 4, was sown @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, in rows, 30 cm apart on October 16, 2012 and harvested on February 27, 2013. Intercultural operations were done as and when necessary. Grain and straw yields were recorded from the whole plot harvest. The oil content in seed was determined by Soxhlet's extraction method taking petroleum ether as a solvent. Protein content in seeds was obtained by multiplying N content with a constant factor of 6.25 (A.O.A.C., 1960). Protein and oil yield was computed by multiplying the protein and oil

content value with seed yield divided by 100 and multiplied by moisture correction factor, as per treatment. During crop period, a total rainfall of 178.3 mm was received.

The experimental data were analyzed by using STPR-3 programme, designed and developed by Department of Mathematics and Statistics of College of Basic Science and Humanities (CBSH), Pantnagar.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Yield attributes**

All yield attributing characters viz., number of siliquae per plant, length of siliqua, 1000- seed weight and seed weight per plant were affected significantly due to different nutrient levels. 150% NPK recorded higher number of siliquae per plant, number of seeds per siliqua, length of siliqua, 1000seed weight and seed weight per plant. The number of seeds per siliqua was not influenced significantly by the application of different nutrients. However, the control produced the lowest in all the cases. More seed weight per plant might be due to more number of siliquae per plant, more number of seeds per siliqua, more length of siliqua and higher 1000-seed weight (Table 1).

The higher value of yield attributes is the result of higher nutrient levels resulted in to better growth and more

translocation of photosynthates from source to sink as reported by Rana *et al.* (2005) and Tripathi *et al.* (2010). The positive effect of supplementary nutrients on yield attributes of Indian mustard was observed by Singh and Pal (2011).

## Seed yield

The data in Table 1 revealed that 150% NPK recorded significantly higher values of seed and stover yield per hectare than remaining treatments. The reason for higher yield (1817 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 150% NPK was production of effective yield components which contributed towards seed yield. This is due to increase in the recommended nutrients and addition of supplementary nutrients which increased the siliquae per plant, number of seeds per siliqua and siliqua length, which ultimately increase the seed yield. This was in close conformity with Singh *et al.* (2010), Singh and Pal (2011) and Shekhawat *et al.* (2012).

Positive response of nitrogen and potassium was obtained in seed yield per hectare as with the application of 100% NK, there was 16.1% and 5.1% increase in seed yield as compared to 100% K and 100% N alone. However, 100% NP recorded lower yield then 100% NK. With the application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium together the seed yield per hectare was also increased as compared to 100% K and 100% N alone but all the treatments were at par with each other except control, 100% K and 100% N. The increase in seed yield with N, P and K together might be due to balanced fertilization which influenced the yield attributing characters and ultimately the seed yield per hectare. The lowest stover yield was found under control treatment.

There was significant difference in the seed yield per hectare. Seed yield also increased with increase in N and K rates (Mozaffari *et al.,* 2012). The seed yield increased with the increase in level of RDF (Shukla *et al.,* 2002 and Singh and Pal, 2011). Seed yield also increased with increase in N and P rates (Premi and Kumar, 2004 and Shekhawat *et al.,* 2012).

## Seed quality

The effect of different nutrients applied on protein and oil content was found to be non-significant (Table 2). 100% NP produced the maximum protein content, while higher concentration of oil in seeds at 100% K followed by 100% NK and 100% NPK + 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments and lowest was in control. The increase in protein content might be due to higher nitrogen content in seeds as it is a mathematical value calculated from nitrogen content of seeds, as increasing nitrogen levels increases the proteinaceous substance in seeds (Singh and Pal, 2011). Protein content was higher due to higher concentration of P which increased the number of seeds (Bharose et al., 2011). The increase in oil content with S fertilization may be attributed to its role in oil synthesis (Tripathi et al., 2010), increase in glucosides (Kumar et al., 2006 and Singh et al., 2010). Increase in availability of S attribute to increased conversion of fatty acid metabolites to the end products of fatty acids as supported by Jain et al. (1996), Tripathi et al. (2010) and Singh and Pal (2011).

Increase in oil content on K application is attributed to increase in the activity of enzymes involved in fat synthesis (Singh et *al.,* 2010). Positive effect of S along with P and other nutrients on oil content is because of P as it is a constituent of phospholipids and also essential for oil synthesis (Kumar and Yadav, 2007).

The protein (306 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and oil yield (736 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were found significantly superior under the application of 150% NPK than other remaining treatments. The lowest protein and oil yield was recorded in the control plot. Protein and oil yield is the function of oil content in seeds multiplied by seed yield per hectare. Increase in the seed yield, increased the protein and oil yield. The results of protein and oil yield are in tune with Singh et *al.* (2010) and Tomer et *al.* (1996), respectively.

From the above discussion it may be opined that increasing the nutrient dose to 150% NPK (RDF = 120: 60: 40 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in achieving more seed (1817 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), protein (306 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and oil yield (736 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of mustard during *rabi* season.

## REFERENCES

**A. O. A. C. 1960.** Methods of analysis. Association Official Agriculture Chemicals, Washington D. C. Ninth edition, pp. 15-16.

Bartaria, A. M., Shukla, A. K., Kaushik, C. D., Kumar, P. R. and Singh, N. B. 2001. Major Diseases of Rapeseed-Mustard and their management. NRC on Rapeseed-Mustard, ICAR, Sewer, Bharatpur (Rajasthan).

**Bharose, R., Chandra, S., Thomas, T. and Dhan, D. 2011.** Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield, availability of N P K, protein and oil content in toria (*Brassica sp.*) var. PT–303. *J. Agric. Biological Sci.* **6(2)**: 31-33.

Davari, M. R. and Mirzakhani, M. 2009. Integrated nutrient management towards sustainable production of oilseeds and pulses. *Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci.* **1(1)**: 24-32.

Fisher, R. A. 1947. The design of experiments, Oliver and Boyd. Edinberg  $4^{th}$  Ed.

**Food and Agriculture Organization Statistics. 2011.** (http://faostat.com/)

**GOI** (Government of India). 2011. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, GOI, New Delhi.

Jain, N. K., Vyas, A. K. and Singh, A. K. 1996. Yield and quality of Indian mustard as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 66(9): 539-540.

Kumar, H. and Yadav, D. S. 2007. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) cultivars. *Indian J. Agron.* 55(2): 154-157.

Kumar, N., Singh, S. and Singh, V. 2006. Effect of iron and sulphur levels on yield, oil content and their uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J. Agron.* **51(1)**: 63-64.

Mozaffari, S. N., Delkhosh, B. and Rad, A. S. 2012. Effect of nitrogen and potassium levels on yield and some of the agronomical characteristics in Mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J. Sci. Technol.* 5(2): 2051-2054.

**Premi, O. P. and Kumar, M. 2004.** Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus under irrigated condition. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **38**(2): 151-153.

Rana, K. S., Rana, D.S. and Gautam, R. C. 2005. Influence of phosphorus, sulphur and boron on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* **50(4)**: 314-316.

Shekhawat, K., Rathore, S. S., Premi, O. P., Kandpal, B. K. and Chauhan, J. S. 2012. Advances in agronomic management of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) *Czern. Coss.*): An overview. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, *Internat. J. Agron.* pp. 6-9.

Shukla, R. K., Kumar, A., Mahapatra, B. S. and Kandpal, B. 2002. Integrated nutrient management practices in relation to morphological and physiological determinants of seed yield in Indian mustard (*Brassica* juncea). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **72(11)**: 670-672.

Singh, S. P. and Pal, M. S. 2011. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, quality, nutrient uptake and economics of mustard (*Brassica* juncea). *Indian J. Agron.* 56(4): 381-387.

Singh, Y., Singh, T., Singh, U. N. and Rajput, P. K. 2010. Effect of nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of irrigated Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **80(8)**: 691-694.

Tiwari, D. D., Pandey, S. B. and Dubey, M. K. 2012. Effect of potassium application on yield and quality characteristics of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) and mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. *Czern*) crops in

central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. International Potash Institute. 31: 16-20.

Tomer, S., Tomar, T. S., Kumar, S., Tomer, S., Singh, M. and Singh, S. 1996. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) varieties to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers. *Indian J. Agron.* 41(4): 624-626.

Tripathi, M. K., Chaturvedi, S., Shukla, D. K. and Mahapatra, B. S. 2010. Yield performance and quality in Indian mustard (*Brassica* juncea) as affected by integrated nutrient management. *Indian J. Agron.* 55(2): 138-142.

USDA. 2011. Foreign Agriculture Service, Oilseeds: World markets and trade. Circular Series, FOP 1-11, 2011, January.

Verma, K.C. and Baigh, M.A. 2012. Response of phosphorus and molybdenum on yield and quality attributing characters of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* I. Czern & Coss). *The Bioscan.* 7(3): 437-440.